

Rocket ahead with Siemens!

World's smallest Tri-band, GPRS class 10 Module

MC388 & MC45 modules

TC35 & MC35 modules
and terminals

SIEMENS

QUANTUM

The Journal
for the
Electronics
Professional

current of the mosfet results in an oscillation that overrides the predetermined frequency and duty cycle. The frequency oscillates between 143 kHz and 200 kHz and the duty cycle defaults to approximately 1.3% on.

Measurement apparatus

All voltage measurements were taken using a Fluke 199C (200 MHz, dual channel) storage oscilloscope. The instrument's storage facility allows for about 1 200 simultaneous real time samples.

Intrinsic or parasitic diodes

The solid state switching device used is a mosfet. This is because it has an intrinsic (or parasitic) diode. The diode establishes a path through which current can flow during the 'off' period of the switching cycle. Analysis of the waveform across Shunt 1 indicates that this, in fact, occurs during each oscillating cycle

Schedule of components

Parts list for experiment

	Value	Farnell code
R1	50K Pot 10 turn	351-817
R2	50K Pot 10 turn	351-817
R3	1 K.25w	509-164
R4	1 K.25w	509-164
R5	5K1 .25W	509-164
Preset	100R	614-622
Load	10R	*As per description
Shunt	1R2W x4	Connected in parallel
Caps		
C1	100µF/16V	228-503
C2	10nF	579-129
C3	33nF	579-154
C4	47nF	579-166
Semiconductors		
D1	1N4007	365-282
D2	1N4148	368-118
D3	1N4148	368-118
U1	NE555	409-364
Q1	IRFPG50	355-744
Batteries		
B1	12V	174-804
B2	24V 2x12V 20ah	Battery Centre

Farnell can be contacted at 086 111 00055
 Email: info@automation.co.za
 UK +44 870 1200208
 Email: export@farnell.com www.farnell.com

The load resistor was made up by Specific Heat CC
 Tel: +27 21 674 2566 Fax: +27 21 674 3759

* RESISTOR: 10 Ohm ceramic, hollow core, wire wound resistor. Length is 150 mm. Diameter 32 mm. 48 turns of resistance wire spaced 1mm.

Schedule of calibration certificates

10 Ohm resistor	1563/JN 21 November 2001
0.25 Ohm resistor	1562/JN 20 November 2001
Fluke oscilloscope	19.12.2001

Measurement of energy dissipated in the load resistor

The load resistor was wound to deliberately yield an inductance. This inductance measures 8.64 µH and generates high voltage spikes during the 'off' period of each switching cycle. However, because the load resistor has such a high relative inductive property (considering the frequency of oscillation) the reactance and impedance of the load resistor varies with each oscillating waveform. These variations make it difficult to determine the accurate instantaneous impedance of the load resistor. Therefore, in order to determine a realistic measure of the energy dissipated in the load it was decided to reference caloric measurements.

Measurement of the rate of temperature rise was enabled through the use of a platinum-based temperature probe fixed to the hollow wall of the resistor, as shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. This instrument was chosen because it is not affected by the applied high frequency. The probe, in turn, was linked to a digital device that displayed instantaneous readings of temperature change (in degrees Celsius). The test was conducted in a draft-free environment. Ambient room temperature was recorded on the digital display linked to a second identical type platinum-based probe positioned inside a similar resistor.




Figure 2: Temperature probe and load resistor




Figure 3: The temperature probe and coupling in the resistor

The test was allowed to run for a period of about an hour until the temperature stabilized. The difference between ambient room temperature and the rate of temperature rise on the load resistor was assumed to represent the actual rate of temperature rise under test conditions.

The temperature rose to about 52 degrees centigrade above ambient after a little over an hour. At this point it stabilized and remained roughly consistent within the fluctuations of ambient room temperature.

Caloric control test

The same load resistor was allowed to cool and then placed across a variable power supply source as a means to measure comparative temperature rise against an applied DC power in the same draft-free environment. The applied DC voltage was varied until the same temperature above ambient was obtained.

The temperature rise over ambient stabilized at 52 degrees centigrade, when the applied DC voltage from the variable power supply was set at 13.32 volts. This represents $13.32 * 13.32 / 10 = 17.74$ watts (v squared over r). Results indicated that an average of 17.74 watts was dissipated at the start of the test period.

Measurement of energy delivered by the battery energy supply source

As stated previously, the voltage waveforms resulting from such an oscillating frequency vary greatly from one

cycle to another. The transient voltage spikes that are (deliberately) generated to enhance energy efficiency compound this variation. In order to evaluate a reasonable average of the energy delivered, a sample range was chosen spanning 1.2 micro seconds.

The probes from channel A and B of the oscilloscope were positioned as detailed in Figure 4.

Current flow to and from the battery was determined from the voltage waveform across the 0.25 ohm shunt resistor, divided by its resistance. Batteries are not typically able to deliver a negative current flow. Therefore, it was assumed that any current delivered by the battery would be determined by the instantaneous voltage across the shunt divided by the resistance of the shunt, measured above ground. Correspondingly any current delivered back to the battery would be determined from the instantaneous voltage across the shunt divided by shunt's resistance, measured 'below ground'. The oscilloscope's coupling was set to determine instantaneous direct current voltage measurements.

Multiple waveforms were stored and downloaded to a spreadsheet for analysis. The equation applied to each of those samples was $\Sigma V \times I \times \Delta T$ where V equals battery voltage and current (I) is determined from the voltage waveform across Shunt 1 divided by the resistance of Shunt 1. The instantaneous product of each sample ($V \times I$) was determined and the sum of all the samples was then computed. In order to establish the average power delivered during each cycle the sum of all the samples was divided by that sample number. Results indicated that the average power delivered was 1.13 watts.

Measurement of battery discharge rate over 16.5 hours

The following schedule of results as indicated in Table 1 was taken from an experiment that was conducted over a 16.5 hour period to determine the rate at which 2 x 12 volt batteries discharged their energy. During this time, measurements were taken of the temperature rise over ambient from the load resistor—and the voltage drop across the batteries. The average rate of temperature rise was 51.37 degrees centigrade above ambient. The average wattage, as it related to temperature rise (Caloric Control test) was 17.53 watts.

This, in turn resulted in a total of 1.22 Mega joules dissipated over the entire test period. The wattage measured to have been delivered by the battery energy supply source was 1.13 watts * 997 minutes * 60 seconds being 67 596 joules. The voltage measured across the battery fluctuated during the test period. But there was no evidence of any significant battery voltage reduction that could be reasonably ascertained. Note that the battery voltage remained above 24 V.

This extended test period had the added advantage of testing the experimental results over a more significant duration of time to determine that neither the energy dissipated in the load resistor nor the energy delivered by the battery was a purely transitory phenomenon. The evaluation of the performance of the batteries, in terms of their rated capacities, was omitted as the performance of these lead acid batteries typically vary from their watt-hour ratings. Such evaluations are widely considered to be subject to too many vagaries to represent dependable results.

Time	Computer clock—not calibrated
Minutes	Test period in minutes
Temp on load	Measured on the digital display device linked to the temperature

Temp over ambient	Measured on the digital display device coupled to a similar load
Over ambient	Difference between the test and ambient temperature
Battery voltage	Instantaneous battery voltage read from the digital display of the Fluke oscilloscope with probes placed directly across the battery energy supply source




Figure 4: Oscilloscope probes positioning

Time	Minutes	Temp Load	Temp Ambient	Over Ambient	Battery Volts	Joules	Control Watts
17.31		69.50	21.40	48.10	24.80		16.41
18.00	29	69.50	18.40	51.10	24.80	30333.37	17.43
19.00	89	69.70	17.70	52.00	24.80	94731.64	17.74
20.00	149	69.50	17.60	51.90	25.10	158290.68	17.71
21.02	211	68.50	17.40	51.10	24.80	220701.39	17.43
22.01	270	68.90	17.50	51.40	24.70	284072.11	17.54
23.02	332	68.10	17.30	50.80	24.60	345226.01	17.33
24.00	390	67.60	17.30	50.30	24.60	401545.08	17.16
8.08	878	65.10	16.60	48.50	24.30	871641.65	16.55
9.30	960	64.80	16.10	48.70	24.70	956977.91	16.61
9.53	983	71.10	16.80	54.30	25.10	1092584.58	18.52
10.07	997	76.50	16.60	59.90	24.30	1222429.15	20.44
Results	997			51.37	24.76	1222429.15	17.53

Table 1: Schedule of results over 16.5 hours test period

Joules
Control Watts

Watts per Control Watts x time
Caloric Control test determined that 17.74 watts represents a temperature rise of 52 degrees centigrade over ambient. (See paragraphs *Measurement of Energy Dissipated in Load Resistor and Caloric Control Test*). Therefore, 17.74/52=0.34 watts as a factor per degree centigrade over ambient. Power (Watts) is therefore determined as the difference between test and ambient temperature times this factor, to give a broad indication of power (watts) dissipated at the load resistor.

Results

Energy dissipated at load resistor	1.22 Mega joules
Energy delivered by the 2 x 12 volt batteries	67.6 Kilojoules

Review of experimental results

The method to involve independent entities and authori-

ties was proposed as a supplementary method to review the experimental results. It was determined that this process was required because of the anomalous and contentious nature of the claims that are associated with this proposed technology.

The companies listed below have approved the inclusion of their name in this article and, together with the authors of this article, recommend a wider forum for systematic and statistical consideration of this experiment and its results:

- The Cape Hope Metrology Laboratory confirmed that test equipment had been calibrated at Tellumat's Metrology Laboratory
- Spescom, as distributors of Fluke instruments, who attended demonstrations
- ABB Electric Systems Technology Institute in North Carolina who conducted independent tests. Here tests were confined to the evaluation of instantaneous power delivered simultaneously by the battery supply source and dissipated in the load—measure-

ments enabled through the use of four channel oscilloscopes

- Jonathan Green of BP (Africa) who applied tests to measure the effect of the energy gains on battery duration.
- Sasol representatives who are offering a bursary award to encourage further research.
- J De Bruto of Power Engineers.

Conclusions

It would be reasonable to assume a maximum of 10% error on all temperature measurements as the calorimetric test conditions were crude.

Error margin ratings applied to the Fluke 199C oscilloscope, as this relates to the voltage measurements across the Shunt 1 and the battery, are 10% and 1.5% respectively, at the highest frequencies applied to these tests. By discounting all the negative voltage sample measurements by 10%, results still indicate a coefficient in excess of 16 over the energy delivered.

Because of the approximations related to the measurement of energy—based on the rate of temperature rise—it was necessary to reference values that were significantly greater than ambient. To this end, a 24 volt (2 × 12 volt) battery was used to supply energy to the test circuit.

However, the switching circuit could not be energized directly from this battery because its fluctuating voltage reached voltage levels that were incompatible with components used for the switching circuitry. Therefore a separate 12 volt, dry cell, 4 ampere hour battery supply source was used to supply energy to the switching circuit. This battery was fully charged and measured 12.45 volts prior to being connected to the switching circuit.

At the conclusion to the 16.5 hour testing period, its open-terminal voltage was measured to be 12.04 volts. This voltage reduction was consistent with the small current flow (0.039 A) required to drive the switching circuit over the 16.5 hour test period. Therefore the apparent additional energy that was introduced to the experimental system could not have emanated from this source.

The experimental circuit and results are easily repeatable. Classical models of energy transfer preclude any advantage to using a switching system to enhance efficiency for purposes of dissipating heat. It would, therefore, have been an extraordinary undertaking to test classical hypotheses, unless there was also some reason to question classical models of energy transfer.

Although a great deal of investigation of the phenomenon is still required to develop the mathematical constructs for application of this technology, preliminary investigations and reports reveal findings that may be consistent with claims of this technology.

Keep the right company! Your business may depend upon it!

Through a Registrar of Companies ruling, UPScom (Pty) Ltd is now ...



POWER SOLUTIONS

Your power protection partner

Nothing but our name changes.

Call us for the same reliable, tailor-made uninterruptible power supply solutions, backed by the most knowledgeable national sales, service and support in the business!

www.squareonepower.co.za

Johannesburg – (011) 321-5200

Pretoria – (012) 342-9046

Cape Town – (021) 464-4070

Durban – (031) 268-3900

Bloemfontein – (051) 448-5451

Port Elizabeth – (041) 365-2614

East London – (043) 726-0440

APC
Legendary Reliability™
Hi Power Partner